



Effect of Nutrient Management on Yields, Economics and Nutrient Content and their Uptake of Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under Rainfed Conditions

IS TOMAR, RK YADAV¹, RK TRIPATHI², MAHENDER SINGH¹, JAGDEESH MORYA¹
AND NARENDRA KUMAWAT^{2*}

Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Jhabua, Madhya Pradesh, India

Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalyaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

The field experiments were conducted during *kharif* 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Research Farm of Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Jhabua to study the effect of nutrient management practices on yields, nutrient content and economics of the soybean grown under rainfed condition of Jhabua hill. The experiment laid out in randomized block design with nine nutrient levels *viz.*, T₁-control, T₂-vermicompost (5t/ha), T₃-FYM (10 t/ha), T₄-Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF=20 N: 30 P₂O₅: 20 K₂O kg/ha), T₅-50% RDF + *Rhizobium* + PSB, T₆- 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost, T₇-50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + *Rhizobium* + PSB, T₈- *Rhizobium* culture + PSB and T₉- 50% vermicompost + *Rhizobium* + PSB. Results revealed that application of application of 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost (T₆) gave significantly highest seed yield (22.62 q/ha), straw yield (23.86 q/ha), biological yield (46.48), gross return (Rs. 69,230/ha), net return (Rs. 49,571/ha), production efficiency (20.56 kg/ha/day) and economic efficiency (450.64 Rs./ha/day) as compared to control. Whereas maximum B:C ratio (3.84) was recorded in 100% RDF followed by vermicompost @ 5 t/ha. Similarly, higher nutrient content (N, P and K) in seed as well as straw and their uptake were recorded under 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost. The highest protein content (41.99%) and protein yield (94,864 kg/ha) was also observed with the application of 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost. Hence, application of 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost better for obtain higher yields, economics and nutrient content and their uptake of soybean under rainfed condition of Jhabua hill of Madhya Pradesh.

Keywords: Economics, Nutrient management, Production efficiency, Protein content, Yield

ARTICLE INFO

Received on : 08-01-2018
Accepted on : 22-04-2018
Published online : 07-06-2018

INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max*) is an economically important legume crops for being one among the global sources of protein and oil, as a food and livestock feed (Khan *et al.*, 2016). It is the important pulse as well as oil seed crop in India. Among the oil seed crops, soybean has occupied 4th rank in the edible oil in India, next to groundnut, rapeseed and mustard. The area is the 11.60 Mha and production 8.57 million tonnes in the India. Madhya Pradesh is the leading state in India, grown on an area of about 54.01 lakh hectare with annual production of about 55.07 lakh tonnes (Anonymous, 2016). Soybean like most legumes performs nitrogen fixation by symbiotic relationship with bacteria (*Rhizobium japonicum*). It contains 40-42 protein along with calcium iron, and glycine (Mere *et al.*, 2013).

The productivity is low due to imbalanced fertilization or improper use of chemical fertilizers has caused nutritional in the soil, instability in productivity and hidden hunger, besides depletion of nutritional quality of the pulses. Use of organic manures with optimum rate of fertilizers turn-over of nutrients in the soil system. The organic manures along with biofertilizers helps in reducing the doses of inorganic

fertilizers, which turn reduces the cost of cultivation and its also helps in improving productivity of soil (Charpinde *et al.*, 2014). Farmyard manure (FYM) and vermicompost are the important component of integrated nutrient management for maintain the soil health and improving the yields. The decomposition and mineralization of organic manures is the slow process, match the nutrient requirement of a crop and reduced the loss of plant nutrients (Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2010). Nitrogen required as a starter dose along with organic manures and seed inoculation with effective strain of biofertilizers for its cultivation. From this background information, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of organic and inorganic sources of plant nutrients on yields, economics and nutrient content of soybean grown in sandy loam of Jhabua hills.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted during *kharif* season of 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Research Farm, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Jhabua (MP) (21°30' - 22°55' N and 73°30' - 75°01' E; altitude 428 m above sea level). The experimental soil was sandy with shallow to medium depth with gravel developed over stony uplands with pH of 7.5, low in organic carbon (0.41%), available N, P and K of 215, 12.3 and 116.5 kg/ha, respectively. The experiment laid out in randomized block design with nine nutrient levels *viz.*, T₁ - control, T₂-

¹Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jhabua (MP), India

²Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Jhabua (MP), India

*Corresponding Author Email : kumawatandy@gmail.com

vermicompost (5t/ha), T₃-FYM (10 t/ha), T₄-Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF=20 N, 60 P and 40K kg/ha), T₅-50% RDF + *Rhizobium* + PSB, T₆- 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost, T₇-50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + *Rhizobium* + PSB, T₈- *Rhizobium* culture + PSB and T₉-50% vermicompost + *Rhizobium* + PSB. Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) i.e. nitrogen, phosphorous and potash were applied as basal in the form of urea, single superphosphate and murate of potash (MOP), respectively. *Rhizobium* and PSB was used as seed treatment (5 g/kg seed). Organic manures (FYM and vermicompost) was applied 15 days prior of sowing of the respective plots as per treatment. The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in the applied FYM and vermicompost were 0.62, 0.41, 0.83% and 1.71, 1.18, and 1.95%, respectively. The seed and straw yield per net plot was recorded after drying. The plot yield was later on converted into kg/ha by multiplying it by conversion factor. Harvest index was calculated by using the following formula of Donald (1962) and expressed in per cent. The protein content was calculated by the multiplying the percentage value of nitrogen content of seed with the factor 6.25 (AOAC, 1970).

The seed and straw samples were subjected to analysis of N through auto nitrogen analyser, P calorimetrically using vanadomolybdo-phosphoric acid yellow colour method and K flam photometrically (Jackson, 1973). Nutrient uptake in seed and straw were calculated in kg/ha in relation to dry matter production/ha by using the formula. Fisher's method of analysis of variance was applied for the analysis and interpretation of data was made as per method of Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The level of significance used in 'F' and 't' tests was calculated at 5% probability. Critical difference values were calculated wherever 'F' test was found significant. Production efficiency and economic efficiency was calculated by following given formula below

$$\text{Production efficiency (kg/ha/day)} = \frac{\text{Seed yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Total duration taken crop (days)}}$$

$$\text{Economic efficiency (Rs./ha/day)} = \frac{\text{Seed yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Net return (Rs./ha)}}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on yields

The seed, straw and biological yield of soybeans significantly differed due various integrated nutrient treatments (Table 1). The application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost (T₆) produced higher seed yield (22.62q/ha) but was statistically on par with 100% RDF (T₄) and 50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + biofertilizers (*Rhizobium*+PSB) (T₇) and superior to rest of the treatments. Further mean data showed that highest straw yield (23.86 q/ha) was also recorded in the treatment of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost) but it was comparable with the 100% RDF. Similarly, highest biological yield (46.48 q/ha) was noted under application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost. The harvest index did not influenced by the different integrated nutrient management treatments. This might be due to beneficial effect of vermicompost was exhibited only when it was applied in conjunction of inorganic fertilizers which improve increase the nutrient availability and

sustaining it over a period of time as compared to their alone application. Organic and inorganic sources of nutrients create the favourable soil condition resulting enhanced the availability of nutrients and final reflection on yield attributes of crop. The results in conformity with the findings of Chaturdeviet al. (2010), Mereet al. (2013), Devi et al. (2014) and Morya et al. (2018).

Table 1: Yields and harvest index of soybean as influenced by different nutrient management practices (mean data of 3 years)

Treatment	Seed yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Biological yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T ₁ =control	10.99	12.70	23.69	46.39
T ₂ = vermicompost (5 t/ha)	18.36	20.10	38.46	47.74
T ₃ =FYM (10 t/ha)	17.97	19.87	37.84	47.49
T ₄ =100% RDF (20 N:60 P:40 K kg/ha)	21.43	23.30	44.73	47.92
T ₅ =50% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	16.84	18.68	35.52	47.41
T ₆ =50% RDF + 50% vermicompost	22.62	23.86	46.48	48.65
T ₇ =50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	20.13	21.91	42.04	47.89
T ₈ = <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	13.29	14.85	28.14	46.83
T ₉ =50% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	10.99	1.660	31.44	46.90
SEm±	9.91	5.42	12.70	1.44
CD (P=0.05)	29.83	16.20	38.11	NS

Effect on economics

Mean data of three years presented in table 2 showed that maximum gross returns (Rs. 69,230/ha), net returns (Rs. 49,571/ha) was recorded with the application of 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost followed by 100% RDF (Rs. 65727/ha and Rs. 48,623/ha, respectively). This was due to produced higher seed and straw yield resulting gave maximum gross returns and net returns. Whereas, the higher B:C ratio (3.84) was obtained with the application of 100% RDF but it was at par with 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost and 50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + biofertilizers (*Rhizobium*+PSB). This might be due to relatively lower cost of chemical fertilizers produced higher B:C ratio and organic manures having higher cost than the chemical fertilizers therefore increase the cost of cultivation. The highest production efficiency (20.56 kg/ha/day) and economic efficiency (450.64 Rs./ha/day) were recorded with the application of 50% RDF + 50% vermicompost followed by 100% RDF and 50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + biofertilizers (*Rhizobium*+PSB). The results are conformity with the Awasarma et al. (2013), Devi et al. (2014) and Jain (2015).

Table 2: Economics and production efficiency and economic efficiency of soybean as influenced by different nutrient management practices (mean data of 3 years)

Treatment	Gross return (Rs./ha)	Net return (Rs./ha)	B:C ratio	Production efficiency (kg/ha/day)	Economic efficiency (kg/ha/day)
T ₁ =control	33862	20398	2.51	9.99	185.43
T ₂ = vermicompost (5 t/ha)	56346	34132	2.54	16.69	310.29
T ₃ =FYM (10 t/ha)	55198	26734	1.94	17.25	243.04
T ₄ =100% RDF (20 N:60 P:40 K kg/ha)	65727	48623	3.84	19.48	442.03
T ₅ =50% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	51740	36376	3.37	15.31	330.69
T ₆ =50% RDF + 50% vermicompost	69230	49571	3.52	20.56	450.64
T ₇ =50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	61762	44210	3.52	18.30	401.91
T ₈ = <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	40837	27293	3.02	12.08	248.12
T ₉ =50% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	45605	27686	2.55	13.49	251.69
SEm±	2865	2865	0.18	1.19	26.05
CD (P=0.05)	8590	8590	0.55	3.58	78.09

Effect on nutrient content and uptake

The nutrient content (NPK) in seed as well as straw was significantly influenced by various nutrient management levels (Table 3). Among the different treatments, application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost significantly improve N, P and K content in seed and as well as straw followed by 100% RDF. Similarly, highest uptake of N, P and K by the crop was also recorded with the application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost but it was at with 100% RDF and 50% RDF +

25% vermicompost + biofertilizers (*Rhizobium*+PSB). This might be due to beneficial effect of combined use of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients supply the nutrients for a longer period and improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. As nitrogen increased, the protein content also increased because due to application of RDF and organic manures along with biofertilizers accelerated the availability of nitrogen which increase the protein content in seed. Similar findings were also made by [Mere et al. \(2013\)](#).

Table 3: Nutrient content and their uptake by soybean as influenced by different nutrient management practices (mean data of 3 years)

Treatment	Nutrient content in seed (%)			Nutrient content in straw (%)			Nutrient uptake by seed (kg/ha)			Nutrient uptake by straw (kg/ha)		
	N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K
T1=control	5.83	0.670	1.21	1.35	0.198	2.93	64.23	7.38	13.33	17.13	2.51	37.28
T2= vermicompost (5 t/ha)	6.44	0.736	1.35	1.52	0.227	3.59	118.32	13.51	24.81	30.59	4.56	72.24
T3=FYM (10 t/ha)	6.41	0.732	1.33	1.50	0.225	3.54	115.22	13.14	23.91	29.88	4.48	70.27
T4=100% RDF (20 N:60 P:40 K kg/ha)	6.47	0.785	1.40	1.58	0.235	3.70	137.73	16.78	29.82	36.99	5.51	86.73
T5=50% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	6.26	0.720	1.30	1.44	0.211	3.51	105.63	12.15	21.94	26.82	3.96	65.70
T6=50% RDF + 50% vermicompost	6.72	0.780	1.42	1.61	0.242	3.73	151.78	17.67	32.01	38.43	5.77	89.04
T7=50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	6.35	0.747	1.40	1.56	0.232	3.65	128.03	15.06	28.12	34.21	5.09	80.08
T8= <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	5.89	0.700	1.24	1.41	0.206	3.11	78.49	9.35	16.55	20.85	3.04	46.39
T9=50% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	6.24	0.712	1.27	1.40	0.203	3.19	92.32	10.56	18.85	23.29	3.37	53.02
SEm ±	0.16	0.024	0.04	0.04	0.009	0.17	7.23	0.93	1.56	1.44	0.25	4.57
CD (P=0.05)	0.47	0.072	0.11	0.13	0.026	0.50	21.66	2.80	4.68	4.33	0.73	13.69

Table 4: Protein content and protein yield of soybean as influenced by different nutrient management practices (mean data of 3 years)

Treatment	Protein content in seed (%)	Protein yield (kg/ha)
T ₁ =control	36.44	40143
T ₂ = vermicompost (5 t/ha)	40.23	73953
T ₃ =FYM (10 t/ha)	40.05	72009
T ₄ =100% RDF (20 N:60 P:40 K kg /ha)	40.41	86084
T ₅ =50% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	39.13	66021
T ₆ =50% RDF + 50% vermicompost	41.99	94864
T ₇ =50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	39.69	80016
T ₈ = <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	36.83	49057
T ₉ =50% vermicompost + <i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	39.00	57702
SEm±	0.98	4516
CD (P=0.05)	2.92	13540

REFERENCES

- Anonymous.2016. Ministry of Agriculture Govt. of India, The Soybean Processor Association of India (SOPA).
- AOAC. 1970. Association of official analytical chemistry. Methods of analysis, Washington DC 2044, 11th Ed.
- Awasarmal VB, Pawar SU, Kolgane RA and Gadade GD. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill.]. *Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement* 4 (1): 62-64.
- Chaturvedi S, Chandel AS, Dhyani AS and Singh AP.2010. Productivity, profitability and quality of soybean (*Glycine max*) and residual soil fertility as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal Agronomy* 55 (2): 133-137.
- Devi KN, Singh TB, Athokpam HS, Singh NB and Shamurailatpam D.2013. Influence of inorganic, biological and organic manures on modulation and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* Merrill L.) and soil properties. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 7(9): 1407-1415.
- Donald. 1962. In search of yield. The Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science 28: 171-178.
- Gharpinde B, Gabhane VV, Nagdeve MB, Sonune BA and Ganvir MM. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility, nutrient balance, productivity and economics of soybean in an inceptisol of semi-arid region of Maharashtra.

Effect on protein content and protein yield

Mean data of three years presented in table 4 showed that higher protein content (41.99%) was obtained with the application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost followed 100% RDF and 50% RDF + 25% vermicompost + biofertilizers (*Rhizobium*+PSB). Highest protein yield (99864 kg/ha) was produced by the application of 50% RDF and 50% vermicompost but it was statistically at par with 100% RDF. This might be due to nitrogen increased in grain, the protein content also increased. Application of organic manures in the form of vermicompost with inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizer accelerated availability of nitrogen which increased the protein content in grain of soybean. Similar findings were also reported by Khaimet al. (2013) and Morya et al.(2018).

CONCLUSION

The present study clearly suggests that integrated nutrient management affect the yields and economics of the soybean. Therefore, crop fertilized with organic and inorganic sources of nutrient (50% RDF @ 20 N: 30P₂O₅: 20 K₂O kg/ha and 50% vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha) was most productive and remunerative and sustainable for Jhabua Hill.

Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 27(3): 303-307.

- Jackson ML. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jain RC. 2015. Effect of integrated nutrient management and mulching on growth and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] in clay Loam soil. *Current World Environment* 10(2): 710-714.
- Khaim S, Chowdhury MAH and Saha BK. 2013. Organic and inorganic fertilization on the yield and quality of soybean. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University* 11(1):23-28.
- Khan YJ, Tyagi H, Singh AK and Magadam SK. 2016. Abiotic stress tolerance in soybean: Regulated by ncRNA. *Journal of AgriSearch* 3 (1): 1-6.
- Mere V, Singh AK, Singh M, Jamir Z and Gupta RC. 2013. Effect of nutritional schedule on productivity and quality of soybean varieties and soil fertility. *Legume Research* 36 (6): 528-534.
- Morya J, Tripathi RK, Kumawat N, Singh M, Yadav RK, Tomar IS and Sahu YK. 2018. Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yields and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max* Merrill L.) under Jhabua hills. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 7(2): 725-730.
- Panase VG and Sukhatme RV. 1967. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Research. I.C.A.R., New Delhi.

Citation:

Tomar IS, Yadav RK, Tripathi RK, Singh M, Morya J and Kumawat N. 2018. Effect of nutrient management on yields, economics and nutrient content and their uptake of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under rainfed conditions. *Journal of AgriSearch* 5 (2): 96-99