



## Low Cost Management Practices to Detect and Control Sub-Clinical Mastitis in Dairy Cattle

TRIPTI KUMARI\*, CHAMPAK BHAKATAND RAJEEV KUMAR CHOUDHARY



### ARTICLE INFO

Received on : 18-06-2019  
Accepted on : 26-06-2019  
Published online : 09-07-2019

### ABSTRACT

Sub clinical mastitis is a hidden form of mastitis, causes a huge economical loss to the farmers. So, present study was done with the aim to find out such management practice to detect and control sub-clinical mastitis in the dairy cattle under field condition that can easily be adopted by the farmers. Eighty cattle having sub clinical mastitis were randomly selected by Surf Field Mastitis Test (SFMT) and divided into two groups i.e., control (N=40) and treatment (N=40). Tri sodium citrate @ 30 mg/kg body wt. was supplemented to the cows along water once daily till 10 days in the treatment group, whereas tri sodium citrate was not provided to control group. Milk sample was collected at 0 day and after 10 day of the experimental period and analysed for somatic cell count (SCC), milk pH, electrical conductivity (EC), milk yield (kg), fat, SNF, protein and lactose percentage. It was found that recovered rate from SCM, milk yield, fat, SNF, protein and lactose were high and SFMT score, milk SCC, pH and EC were low in the treatment group of the cows. Hence, detection of SCM through SFMT and control by use of tri-sodium citrate is an effective, easy and cost-effective management practices which will support the farmers in raising their income through increase in milk quality and quantity.

### KEYWORD

Sub clinical mastitis, tri sodium citrate, surf

### INTRODUCTION

Mastitis causes severe economic losses to the dairy farmers throughout the world. Mastitis cause annual losses of about USD 153 million (Rs.72 billion) in India as per 2009 estimates (Bansal & Gupta, 2009), 60% of which are due to the sub-clinical form. Since there is hardly any discernible change in the udder or in the milk, the farmer usually remains unaware of the existence of this form in his animals, which if left medically unattended, could results into clinical and chronic forms as well as milk production and its quality is compromised. The prevalence of subclinical mastitis (SCM) worldwide is estimated to be between 15-75 percent (Kumar, 2016). India does not have a national programme for mastitis control. A population of 80.5 million in-milk animals i.e., cattle and buffalo (19th Livestock Census, 2012) with its thin distribution poses significant challenges in implementation of a control programme in the field. Covering such a large population would be very difficult if one has to reach out to individual farmers directly. The control programme should be focused on detection and control of SCM. Controlling SCM will play a central role that will have a cascading effect of reducing the production losses and decreasing the number of clinical and chronic mastitis cases. The farmers also need to be equipped with simple testing methods to periodically test their animals for SCM. Once SCM positive animals are identified in the field, it is imperative that cost-effective, easily executable solutions are provided to the farmer. There are several diagnostic tests for detection of SCM. Among the simplest tests, Surf field mastitis test (SFMT) are arguably one of the reliable cow side screening tests for SCM, which do not require any complex laboratory equipment. It is inexpensive, rapid and can easily be applied.

It has been reported that mastitic milk are low in citrate level (Dhillon and Singh, 2009). Citrate plays a crucial role in the lactogenesis and maintains udder health through ionic equilibration (Hyvonen *et al.*, 2010). It was hypothesized that replenishment of citrate deficiency with extraneous tri sodium citrate (TSC) might play some protective role against sub clinical mastitis. Feeding citrate orally to the mastitic animals led to an increase in milk citrate levels and helped in improving clinical condition of animals (Renu *et al.* 2016). TSC administration increased the fat, S.N.F and milk yield over the respective values in mastitic milk (Prakash *et al.*, 2013). The oral regimen of TSC was thus thought to be a good practice for field testing. Hence, the aim of the present study was to develop low cost management practices for detection and control of sub clinical mastitis in the dairy cattle, so that farmers can easily adopt it.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted under field condition. Eighty lactating cows suffering from SCM were selected at the surrounding villages of Kalyani, Nadia district, West Bengal, India. SCM was detected through Surf Field Mastitis Test (SFMT). The experimental animals were divided into two groups, viz. control and treatment groups. Under treatment group lactating cows were supplemented TSC @ 30mg/kg body wt. orally for 10 days, whereas in control group no supplementation was given to the animals. Tri-sodium citrate was supplemented orally either with feed or water once a day. All the selected animals were maintained under identical management conditions. Milk sample was collected at 0 day and after 10 days from both groups of the experimental animals. Daily milk yield (morning and afternoon) was noted. From the milk sample, somatic cell count (SCC), electrical conductivity (EC), pH, Surf Field Mastitis Test (SFMT), milk fat, SNF, protein and lactose were

ICAR-Eastern Regional Station, National Dairy Research Institute, Kalyani - 741235, West Bengal, India

\*Corresponding Author's E-mail: [triptipm@gmail.com](mailto:triptipm@gmail.com)

assessed. Statistical analyses of data were done by one-way ANOVA with SPSS 20 package software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Recovery rate


From table 1, it is evident that in control group among 40 cows, none have recovered, whereas in the treatment group, 38 out of 40 (95%) cows have recovered from SCM. Similar findings were suggested by Sarfaraz *et al.*, (2009) and Sripad (2013) who also found that oral administration of tri sodium citrate cures sub clinical mastitis in buffaloes (69.2%) and cows (62.5%) respectively. Prakash *et al.*, (2010) observed that once a day injection of tri-sodium citrate together with trimethoprim intravenously for 7 days cure mastitis powerfully in dairy cows. Reddy *et al.*, (2017) are also in agreement with the present findings that the percentage cure after second screening and treatment with 10 gms coated Tri sodium for 10days is highly significant offering on-label solutions for success of sub clinical mastitis treatment.

**Table 1:** Recovery rate from sub clinical mastitis in two experimental groups of dairy cows.

Groups	No. of affected animals	No. of recovered animals	% of recovered animals
Control	40	0	0
Treatment	40	38	95

### Surf Field Mastitis Test (SFMT)

Total 80 lactating cows were screened out on the basis of SCC, EC, pH and SFMT. All the animals were found positive for SCM through SFMT. All the diagnostic tests were found significant between control and treatment groups ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). Score value was found lower in control group ( $0.24 \pm 0.02$ ) as compare to treatment group ( $3.12 \pm 0.03$ ) (Fig.1).



**Fig. 1:** Different milk tests in two experimental groups of dairy cows

### Somatic Cell Count (SCC)

There was a significant difference in SCC ( $\times 10^5$  cells/ml) between the two groups ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). Somatic cell was found highest in the control ( $4.1 \times 10^5$ /ml) than treatment ( $0.47 \times 10^5$ /ml) group (Fig.1). Highest SCC was observed on the day before tri sodium citrate supplementation and lowest SCC was found after 10th day of supplementation. This was in agreement with the findings of Dhillon *et al.*, (1997) and Mukherjee *et al.*, (2017).

**Table 2:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of several milk parameters in two experimental groups of dairy cows

Milk Parameters	Control	Treatment
SCC ( $\times 10^5$ cells/ml)	4.10 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.47 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>b</sup>
pH	6.85 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	6.42 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>b</sup>
EC (mS/cm)	5.67 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.52 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>b</sup>
SFMT (score)	0.24 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	3.12 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>
Milk Yield (kg/day)	2.50 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>b</sup>	3.10 $\pm$ 0.34 <sup>a</sup>
Milk Fat (%)	2.53 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	3.22 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>b</sup>
Milk SNF (%)	7.79 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	8.45 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>b</sup>
Milk Protein (%)	3.46 $\pm$ 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	3.55 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>b</sup>
Milk Lactose (%)	2.25 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	3.75 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>b</sup>

Means having different superscripts within the same row differs significantly ( $P < 0.01$ )

### Milk Electrical Conductivity (EC)

Significant differences in mean milk EC (mS/cm) was found between control and treatment groups ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). EC was found to be higher in control ( $5.67 \pm 0.03$ ) as compare to treatment group ( $4.52 \pm 0.02$ ) (Fig.1). Norberg *et al.* (2004) explained that the mean EC of healthy cows was 4.87 mS/cm, while the mean conductivity of subclinical mastitic cows was 5.37 mS/cm. Ilie *et al.*, (2010) stated that 50 dairy cows were classified as healthy/ subclinically infected, and EC was measured repeatedly from samples collected at milking. For the subclinically infected quarters ( $n = 115$ ), the mean EC was 5.42 mS/cm, while it was 4.53 mS/cm for the healthy ( $n = 21$ ). According to the researcher's findings (Norberg *et al.*, 2004 and Janzekovic *et al.*, 2009), electrical conductivity is an indicator of subclinical mastitis when it is above  $>5.5$  mS/cm. This increase in EC value results from the alteration of the concentration of mineral substances.

### Milk pH

Milk pH was found significant statistically ( $P < 0.01$ ) between two groups (Table 2). It was recorded higher in control ( $6.85 \pm 0.02$ ) than treatment ( $6.42 \pm 0.01$ ) group (Fig. 1). The cases were treated with trisodium citrate and pH of milk was found normal after supplementation. Previously, Dhillon *et al.*, (1989) observed that chemotherapeutic treatment of mastitis has not been successful to desirable extent. Since normal milk pH is considered unsuitable for the growth of common bacterial pathogens and mastitic milk has an alkaline pH. Hence it creates unfavourable pH medium in the quarter/udder retarding the infection. It was hypothesized that administration of trisodium citrate orally might correct/optimize milk pH. Prakash and Sharma (1994) also recorded a gradual decrease in milk pH after the trisodium citrate and oral therapy.

### Milk Yield

There was significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) differences in mean milk yield (kg) between two groups (Table 2). It was found higher in treatment ( $3.10 \pm 0.34$ ) as compare to control ( $2.50 \pm 0.33$ ). Similar finding was reported by Prakash *et al.*, (2013). The rise in milk yield in the animals, suffering from mastitis may be explained keeping in view the role of citrate in the synthesis and secretion of milk. Fleet *et al.*, (1975) called milk citrate a

harbinger of lactogenesis. Moreover, restoration of trisodium citrate in animals suffering from mastitis decreases pH of milk and perhaps acts against Ca<sup>++</sup> injury and reduces the inflammatory process in the quarters of the udder, which in turn makes mammary secretory cells more efficient and ultimately the milk yield is increased.

#### Milk Fat

Milk fat (%) was found less in the control group as compared to treatment group. In the market, fat percentage is indicative of quality of milk. In control group, fat percentage was recorded 2.53± 0.03 and after treatment with Tri sodium citrate, it was found to increase 3.22± 0.04 in treatment group (Table 2). It is in contrast to Singh et al., (1997) and Prakash et al., (2013) who observed that fat content of milk in sub-clinically infected quarters was reduced against normal value. The increment in fat was spectacular (190%) because citrate plays an indirect role through NADPH in de novo synthesis of fatty acids in the mammary gland (Garnsworthy et al., 2006).

#### Milk Solid not Fat (SNF)

Table 2 shows that the milk SNF (%) was below the normal range in control group and it was observed 7.79± 0.04, whereas in treatment group it was respectively higher i.e., 8.45 ± 0.05. Similar finding was reported by Ashworth et al., (1967) and Prakash et al. (2013).

#### REFERENCES

- 19<sup>th</sup> livestock census. 2012. All India Report. Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
- Ashworth VS, Foster TL and Lucdecke LO. 1967. Relationship between CMT reaction and composition of milk from opposite quarters. *Journal of Dairy Science* **50**: 1078.
- Bansal BK and Gupta DK. 2009. Economic analysis of bovine mastitis in India and Punjab- A review. *Indian Journal of Dairy Sciences* **62(5)**: 337-45.
- Dhillon KS and Singh J. 2009. Managing chronically mastitic cows. *Veterinary Record*, 8th ed. In Dhillon, K.S., J. Singh and B.S. Gil. 1989. A new horizon in mastitis treatment. *Journal of Research Punjab Agricultural University* **26(3)**: 477-81.
- Dhillon KS, Singh TJ, Sodhi SS, Sandhu HS, Dwivedi PN, Singh J and Gill BS. 1997. Milk bacteriology: Pre and post-trisodium citrate mastitis treatment in buffaloes. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **65**: 9-11.
- Dhillon KS, Singh J and Gill BS. 1989. A new horizon in mastitis treatment, *Journal of Research Punjab Agricultural University* **26**: 177-181.
- Fleet IR, Goode JA, Hamon MH, Laurie MS, Linzell JL and Peaker M. 1975. Secretory activity of goat mammary glands during pregnancy and onset of lactation. *Journal of Physiology* **251**: 763.
- Garnsworthy PC, Masson, LL, Lock AL and Mottram TT. 2006. Variation of milk citrate with stage of lactation and de novo fatty acid synthesis in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**: 1604-1612.
- Hyvonen P, Haarahlintunen T, Lehtolainen T, Heikkinen J, Isomaki R and Pyorala S. 2010. Concentrations of bovine lactoferrin and citrate in milk during experimental endotoxin mastitis in early- versus late lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Research* **77**: 474-80.
- Ilie LI, Tudor L and Galis AM. 2010. The electrical conductivity of cattle milk and the possibility of mastitis diagnosis in

#### Milk protein

Milk protein (%) was found to be significant statistically ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). It was reported less ( $3.46 \pm 0.36$ ) in the control as compare to treatment ( $3.55 \pm 0.37$ ) group. Similar finding was reported by Mbonwanayo et al. (2016), who also found variation in the milk protein (%) among the sampling days during the trial period.

#### Milk lactose

Milk lactose (%) was found to be significant statistically ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). There was low percentage of milk lactose in the control ( $2.25 \pm 0.12$ ) as compare to treatment ( $3.75 \pm 0.04$ ) group. Similar finding was reported by Singh et al., (1997) in the dairy cattle. Mastitic milk has low percentage of lactose and after treatment of mastitis with tri-sodium citrate, milk lactose restores to normal composition (Singh et al., 2007).

#### CONCLUSION

SFMT proved to be a quick, easy and reliable diagnostic test for SCM. Administration of trisodium citrate recovered the dairy cows from SCM cases. Treated animals found to have reduced SCC, milk EC, maintained normal milk pH and increased milk yield, fat, SNF, protein and lactose. Hence, the sustainable solution for detection (by SFMT) and control (by TSC) of SCM is a cost effective and easily dispensable option which the farmers can easily adopt as management practices.

- Romania. *Veterinary and Medicine Science Works* **43**: 220-7.
- Janzekovic M, Brus M, Mursec B, Vinis P, Stajanko D and Cus, F. 2009. Mastitis detection based on electric conductivity of milk. *The Journal of Advances in Mechanical and Materials Engineering* **34**: 39-46.
- Kumar AVH. 2016. A field approach to mastitis control in India. "Collective Experiences" session at the 6th IDF International Mastitis Conference 7-9 September 2016, Nantes, France.
- Mbonwanaya D, Akal C, Cedden F and Bohloli S. 2016. Tri-Sodium Citrate use in dairy cows and effects on daily milk yield and quality. *Journal of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences* **68**: 34-7.
- Mukherjee J, Chaudhary M, Dang AK. 2017. Alterations in the milk yield and composition during different stages of lactation cycle in elite and non-elite Karan Fries crossbred cows (Holstein Friesian x Tharparkar). *Biological Rhythm Research* **48**: 499-506.
- Norberg E, Hogeveen H, Korsgaard IR, Friggens NC, Sloth KHMN and Lovendahl P. 2004. Electrical conductivity of milk: ability to predict mastitis status. *Journal of Dairy Science* **87**: 1099-1107.
- Prakash V, Siddiqua B, Yadav MPS, Singh RB and Singh SP. 2013. Various factors responsible for sub clinical and clinical mastitis and pharmacotherapeutic role of tri-sodium citrate. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* **8(1)**: 37-9.
- Prakash V, Yadav M and Singh S. 2010. Effect of Different Treatment of Mastitis in Cow and Biochemical Changes in Their Milk. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* **4**: 202-4.
- Prakash V and Sharma ND. 1994. Effect of Trisodium citrate per as on pH of milk in cows. Proceedings of 17th Indian Society for Veterinary Surgery Congress at College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Mathura.
- Reddy NK, Gangaiah M and Rao BK. 2017. Coated tri-sodium citrate minimizes the pathogens and maximizes the milk and milk fat in sub clinical mastitis. *Journal of Bio Innovation* **6(3)**: 386-90.

- Renu G, Gupta MP and Kumar S. 2016. Significance of Milk Citrate Level as an Aid in Diagnosis of Mastitis and Progression of Its Treatment in Bovines. *Indian Veterinary Journal* **93**(4): 29–31.
- Sarfaraz I, Yousaf A, Muhammad G, Abbas ZR, Zafar MA and Ahmad T. 2009. Evaluation of tri sodium citrate alone and in combination with levamisole HCL in the treatment of sub-clinical mastitis in dairy buffaloes. *Pakistan Journal of Zoological Supplement* **9**: 839-43.
- Singh J, Kaur K Meenakshi A, Verma S, Kumar H and Pannu MS. 2007. Nonantibiotic treatment of bovine mastitis. *Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine* **27**: 133-4.
- Singh T, Varindra J, Singh S and Dhillon KS. 1997. Effect of TriSodium Citrate for Mastitis Treatment on some Milk Constituents in Cows. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **67**: 1055-6.
- Sripad K. 2013. Detection of sub-clinical mastitis and evaluation of different treatment regimens in bovines. Ph.D. Thesis. Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Bidar, Karnataka, India.